How to detect them, scientifically?



Not an easy task

- Difficult to detect
- Generic detection → difficult to distinguish from "traditional" widespread attacks
- Same techniques, different methodologies
- Assist cybercrime investigations
- To **reduce** the number of normal incidents down to a more manageable amount for **further in-deep analysis**.

Idea

- Identify groups of similar machines
- Share a common network behavior
 - With respect to the malicious resources they access/request
 - e.g. exploit kits, drive-by-downloads, C&C servers
- Correlate location and industry information
- Build "context"



SPuNge's Approach



Working Data

- HTTP(S) network traces
 - Population of ~20,000,000 installations
- Collected at proxy-level, client-side
- Already-known malicious URLs
 - Drive-by / web-based malware, fakeAVs, C&C servers, etc...



Pre-Processing

- Classification: Ignore parental controlled URLs
- Network sampling: Keep a single "candidate" event per network (Class B)
- Event sampling: Remove multiple identical requests from single machine
 - E.g. Botnet -controlled machines
- Duplicates identification: Remove URLs widely requested (e.g. >50 networks) → Widespread
- Whitelisting: Remove entries known to be useless (by previous iterations)

Step 1: Clustering

- Given a set of arbitrary elements, *without prior information*, identifies and assigns the elements to **groups** (called clusters)
- **Patterns** in the collected data (URLs)
- Group malicious URLs according to similar Hostname or Request (Path + Query String) – or both



Host vs Request Clustering

| | | - | | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|------------|
| | cr5aigslist.com | craigsli8st.com | crauglist.com | craeglist.com | google.com |
| cr5aigslist.com | 0 | 0.0666 | 0.1428 | 0.1428 | 0.520 |
| craigsli8st.com | 0.0666 | 0 | 0.1428 | 0.1428 | 0.520 |
| crauglist.com | 0.1428 | 0.1428 | 0 | 0.0769 | 0.478 |
| craeglist.com | 0.1428 | 0.1428 | 0.0769 | 0 | 0.478 |
| google.com | 0.520 | 0.520 | 0.478 | 0.478 | 0 |
| TABLE III | EXAMPLE O | F DISTANCE MAT | TRIX FOR HOST | NAMES | |

E III. EXAMPLE OF DISTANCE MATRIX FOR HOSTNAME (NORMALIZED LEVENSHTEIN).

| Exploit Kit | URL's Host | URL's Request |
|-------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| Blackhole | http://77.79.13.88 | /content/w.php?f=52&e=4 |
| Blackhole | http://188.127.249.241 | /image/l.php?f=553&e=2 |
| Blackhole | http://brown.mydomxd.org | /root/w.php?f=2293&e=6 |
| Nuclear | http://zeak.rghil.info | /a456gh/9493af39692e[].jar |
| Nuclear | http://163.1.32.2 | /1rg54e/55c2b44e0c8a[].jar |
| Nuclear | http://31.184.244.9 | /6ju9a2/bb136b125774[].jar |
| TABLE II. | EXAMPLE OF URLS US | ED BY THE BLACKHOLE ANI |
| NUCLE | AR EXPLOIT KITS AND DET | FECTED WITH SPUNGE |



Distance Function

- Hostname
 - Levenshtein = distance between strings
 - Robert \rightarrow Roger : Robert \rightarrow Rogert, Rogert \rightarrow Roger
- Request
 - Path: Levenshtein
 - Query String: Jaccard
 - # parameters in common (ignore values)
 - http://[hostname]/path1.php?a=10&b=20&c=30
 - http://[hostname]/path2.php?a=100&b=200

 $d_{req}(e_1, e_2) = \sqrt{d_{path}(e_1, e_2)^2 + (WeightFactor \times d_{qsl}(e_1, e_2))^2}$

Bubble View?



- Red = Hostname
- Blue = Request

• Violet = Both (?)

Step 2: Labeling and Merging

- Merge "similar" clusters, subsets
- Assign label to clusters (H/R)

| Clusters | Cluster Label | Event | URL |
|-----------------------|---|---|--|
| <i>C</i> ₁ | H zfmudav4aaq33r5.com >: R /get2.php?c=BLMEUGUBd=266 >: R /CZ4ODY9MzImdHA9MCZmbD0w0 | e ₁ e ₂ e ₃ e ₄ | zfmudav4aaq33r5.com/get2.php?c=BLMEUGUBd=266 zfmudav4aaq35r5.com/get.php?c=ZLXULJNRd=266 zfmudav3aap36r5.com/CZ4ODY9MzImdHA9MCZmbD0w0 zfmudav2acq35r4.com/CZ4ODY9MzImdHB9MCZmbD0w1 |
| <i>C</i> ₂ | H facebookc.com | 65 66 67 68 | facebookc.com facaebook.com faceboook.com facebopok.com |
| <i>C</i> ₃ | H h-aelameftzgj4vxient.com =: R /qKA0rO4d8I7qBhS7Y2xrPTQu | e ₉ e ₁₀ e ₁₁ e ₁₂ | h-aelameftzgj4vxient.com/qKA0rO4d8l7qBhS7Y2xrPTQu h-aelameftxcd5vxient.com/lkG1yP3L8q5YPtU7Y2xrPTQu h-aelameftssd6vxient.com/BAq3T78d8l5Q7bs0Y2xrPTQu h-aelanfftzgj1vxient.com/pA71gKND6P5MTls9Y2xrPTQu |

Step 3: Machines Mapping

• Map URLs into machines \rightarrow IP addresses

| Cluster | Cluster Label | Event | Source Machine |
|-----------------------|---|---|--|
| <i>C</i> ₁ | H zfmudav4aaq33r5.com >: R /get2.php?c=BLMEUGUBd=266 >: R /CZ4ODY9MzImdHA9MCZmbD0w0 | е ₁ е ₂ е ₃ е ₄ | M ₁ M ₂ M ₃ M ₄ |
| <i>C</i> ₂ | H facebookc.com | е5 е ₆ е7 е8 | M ₁ M ₂ M ₅ M ₆ |
| C ₃ | H h-aelameftzgj4vxient.com =: R /qKA0rO4d8l7qBhS7Y2xrPTQu | e ₉ e ₁₀ e ₁₁ e ₁₂ | M ₃ M ₄ M ₅ M ₇ |

- Exercise:
 - M1 to which cluster belongs to? M2?

Step 4: Grouping

- Identify machines that belong to the same clusters (>=1).
- Machines that share a similar malicious behavior
- Scenario: Drive-by-download infection
 - 1. The victim is redirected to the malicious page
 - 2. Served with the right exploit.
 - <u>2 Clusters</u>

Step 4: Grouping

- Looking for similar victims
- Groups of machines (IPs) and clusters (URLs)

| Source Machine | Clusters |
|----------------|------------|
| M_1 | C_1, C_2 |
| M_2 | C_1, C_2 |
| M_3 | C_1, C_3 |
| M_4 | C_1, C_3 |
| M_5 | C_2, C_3 |
| M_6 | C_2 |
| M_7 | C_3 |

| Groups | Machines Set | Clusters Set | |
|--------|---------------|--------------|--------------|
| G_1 | M_1, M_2 | C_1, C_2 | |
| G_2 | M_3, M_4 | C_1, C_3 | |
| G_3 | M_5 | C_2, C_3 | |
| G_4 | M_6 | C_2 | |
| G_5 | M_7 | C_3 | |
| EXAMPL | E OF GROUPS (| MACHINES AN | D CLUSTERS). |

EXAMPLE OF machine $\rightarrow cluster$ Associations.

Last step: Analysis & Reporting

- Correlation: industry & country
- Two type of analysis [2 <= N,C <= 5]:
 - Clusters: N+ machines, operating in the same industry or country, reaching our a cluster of similar URLs (1 cluster)
 - **Groups**: N+ machines sharing C+ clusters
- Exclusive match: No others machines having same behavior
- Automated reporting for threat analysts

Findings



Experiments settings

- Python 2.7 prototype, multi-core
- Process data in daily batch (nighttime)

- 1 week

• Two machines: Processing and Final Analysis

| # of | Sun. 11 | Mon. 12 | Tue. 13 | Wed. 14 | Thu. 15 | Fri. 16 | Sat. 17 |
|----------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Raw Events (Million) | 2.792 | 5.170 | 5.584 | 5.685 | 5.225 | 4.911 | 2.628 |
| Events | 387,339 | 536,524 | 256,270 | 221,954 | 230,758 | 269,103 | 329,458 |
| Clusters | 4,106 | 8,825 | 8,195 | 7,825 | 7,196 | 7,281 | 3,869 |
| Machines | 10,866 | 15,581 | 15,413 | 15,391 | 14,165 | 14,364 | 8,406 |
| Groups | 2,144 | 3,941 | 3,579 | 3,528 | 2,679 | 2,896 | 1,069 |

Cluster 7543 - H 146.185.246.116 >:R /p98a.exe >:R /dd.exe

| http://1 | 46.185 | .246.111/p98a.exe | NET 1 | notepad.exe | 2012 - 11 - 13 | 09:50:35 |
|----------|--------|-------------------------|---------|-------------|----------------|----------|
| http://1 | 46.185 | .246.116/p18a.exe | NET 1 | notepad.exe | 2012 - 11 - 13 | 09:50:37 |
| [] | | 55 | | | | |
| http://1 | 46.185 | .246.121 / mailsa . exe | NET 1 | notepad.exe | 2012 - 11 - 13 | 09:50:24 |
| http://1 | 46.185 | 246.101 / lmqa.exe | NET 1 | notepad.exe | 2012 - 11 - 13 | 09:50:26 |
| http://1 | 46.185 | 246.63 / dd. exe | NET 2 | svchost.exe | 2012 - 11 - 13 | 11:45:27 |
| http://1 | 46.185 | 246.63 / dd . exe | NET 3 | svchost.exe | 2012 - 11 - 13 | 20:58:55 |
| http://1 | 46.185 | 246.104/dqs.exe | NET 1 | notepad.exe | 2012 - 11 - 13 | 09:47:36 |
| 645= 248 | | 225 | | | | |
| NETWORK | 1 | Technology | Mexico | Windows 5. | 1 | |
| NETWORK | 2 | Technology | Turkey | Windows 5. | 1 | |
| NETWORK | 3 | Technology | Morocco | Windows 5. | 1 | |
| | | | | | | |

Listing 1.1. RBN Example - Technology Industry

- Victims:
 - 3 international organizations
 - Operating in the same sector: Manufacture
- Persistent Malware: Injection into memory space to avoid easy detection
- Netblock → Russian Business Network, known to provide support for targeted attacks

Group 1245, 2 Clusters, 2 Networks

Cluster 1725, Label:R /list.php?c=140C3[...] =:H w.nucleardiscover.com:888 E1: http://w.nucleardiscover.com:888/list.php?c=140C34E31DAB3B9746[...]&t=0.689831&v=2 E2: http://w.nucleardiscover.com:888/list.php?c=D8C08B5CD1670FA396[...]&v=1&t=0.9288141

```
Cluster 1932, Label:R /gggg_r.jpg?t=0.1424164
E1: http://61.147.99.179:81/gggg_r.jpg?t=0.1424164
E2: http://ru.letmedo.net:2011/myck.jpg?t=0.3245672
```

| NETWORK1: | Oil | and | Gas | Malaysia | Windows | 5.1 | r18nwn.exe | 2012 - 11 - 14 |
|-----------|-----|-----|-----|----------|---------|-----|------------|----------------|
| NETWORK2: | Oil | and | Gas | Malaysia | Windows | 5.1 | r18nwn.exe | 2012 - 11 - 14 |

Listing 1.2. Example of Cluster Group - Oil&Gas Industry.

- Victims:
 - 2 Malaysian organizations
 - Energy sector, oil&gas
- C&C servers reached out by r18nwn.exe
- Malware for Industrial Environments
- Domains → Registered by a person in China, associated with Targeted Attacks Operations

Future Work

- On-line processing
- GPU-assisted processing
- Enhance clustering, more features (e.g. process name, hash)
- Increasing number of Targeted Attacks
- Difficult to spoil, similarities with traditional attacks
- SPuNge: Assist cybercrime investigations

Conclusions



"Everything's a tradeoff — now that I can walk upright, I can't wiggle my ears any more."

Thanks!

